

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/841,325	04/24/2001		Mark Modell	MDS-009CN (6219/15)	6590	
51414	7590	08/24/2006		EXAMINER		
GOODWII PATENT A			SMITH, RUTH S			
EXCHANG			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON,	BOSTON, MA 02109-2881					
				DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	09/841,325	MODELL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ruth S. Smith	3737					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	lv 2006.	,					
_	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending	in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>105-111,113,115-119,121-126,148,150,152-156,159,160,162-168,170 and 171</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 110(a)	(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) O((i).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• •						
application from the International Bureau		d in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
and the distance detailed entire detail for a list of the defining copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) β ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	_	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						
Patent and Trademark Office							

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 105-111,113,115-119,121-126,148,150,152-156,159,160,162-168,170 and 171.

Art Unit: 3737

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 105-107,109,110,115,125,126,152-156,159-160,165-167, 170,171 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zavislan (6,424,852) in view of Furler et al or Saab or Choi et al. Zavislan discloses an optical system for diagnosing tissue using an illuminating and detecting arrangement. The tissue can be internal biological tissue as disclosed in column 7, lines 34-47. Zavislan fails to specifically disclose the use of a disposable device to protect the patient during scanning, however, the elements shown in figures 9-11 which prevent the imager 83 from contacting the tissue can comprise a disposable device such as a sheath. The sheath is capable of being used only a single time and can be disposed of. The imager used in the apparatus of Zavislan is disclosed as that of US Patent No. 5,788,639 which includes beam splitters/mirrors which are moveable with respect to the patient. Furthermore, the use of protective covers or disposable probes in the medical field is a well known expedient in order to prevent contamination. Examples of such are seen in Furler et al, Saab and Choi et al which disclose the use of a disposable sheath around a medical device to prevent contamination from one patient to another. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have modified Zavislan such that the elements shown in figures 9-11 which prevent the imager 83 from contacting the tissue can comprise a disposable device such as a sheath in order to protect the patient from possible contamination.

Claims 108,111,113,118,119,121,123,148,150,162,168 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zavislan in view of Furler et al or Saab or

Application/Control Number: 09/841,325

Art Unit: 3737

Choi et al as applied to claims 105,107,152 above, and further in view of Kittrell et al. Zavislan fails to disclose comparing the data obtained to a standard and the use of moveable mirrors to scan the tissue. It is well known in the medical art to obtain data from a tissue region and compare the data to at least one standard in order to properly diagnose the tissue region being tested. An example of such is seen in Kittrell et al. Kittrell et al disclose a method of optically analyzing tissue. Kittrell et al disclose illuminating the tissue using an optical assembly comprising moveable mirrors to focus the light on different regions of tissue. The structure set forth in claim 118,119 is seen in figure 23, elements 68,70. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have further modified Zavislan such that the data obtained is analyzed by comparing such to a known standard in order to provide a diagnosis of the tissue being tested. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to have scanned the tissue sample by using moveable mirrors rather than mechanically translating the imager. Such a modification involves the substitution of one known type of scanning means for another. With respect to claim 121 and 162, the specific field stop dimension used would have been an obvious design choice of known equivalents in the art.

Page 3

Claims 116,117,122,124,163,164 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zavislan ('852) in view of Furler et al or Saab or Choi et al and Kittrell et al as applied to claims 105, 108,152 above, and further in view of Raz. Raz discloses a confocal imaging system which uses an array of emitters and detectors in order to scan a large region of interest. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have further modified Zavislan such that an array of detectors is used in order to scan a larger region of interest in a short time period. Inasmuch as Zavislan discloses the use of optical devices, the array of detectors would require the use of optical elements and processors. The use of an array of detectors and emitters would result in an array of field stops.

Art Unit: 3737

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 21, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument are not understood in that the tissue being scanned can be internal biological tissue as disclosed in column 7, lines 34-47.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4745. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth S. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737

RSS